WAVELENGTH-DEPENDENT VARIABILITY OF AGN FROM GALEX AND PAN-STARRS1 + iPTF16bco (a changing-look quasar)

Tiara Hung (University of Maryland) with Suvi Gezari (UMD), David Jones (JHU), Brad Cenko (Goddard), Nadia Blagorodnova (Caltech),Lin Yan (Caltech), Shri Kulkarni (Caltech), and the iPTF team

MOTIVATION

- Observationally, all unobscured quasars are variable.
- AGN variability in the optical is stochastic, and can be modelled as a damped random walk (DRW) process (Kelly+ 2009; MacLeod+ 2010...etc).
- What mechanisms can produce such a variability?
 - X-ray reprocessing (Shappee+2014, McHardy +2016)
 - disk instabilities
 - thermal fluctuation
 - change in the accretion rate...etc



Sesar+ 2007

STANDARD THIN DISK MODEL

$$T(r) = T^* \left(\left(\frac{r_i}{r}\right)^3 \left[1 - \left(\frac{r_i}{r}\right)^{1/2} \right] \right)^{1/4}$$
$$T^* = \left(\frac{3GM_{bh}\dot{M}_{accr}}{8\pi r_i^3 \sigma} \right)^{1/4}$$
$$f_\lambda(T^*) = \int_{r_i}^\infty \pi \frac{\frac{2hc^2}{\lambda^5}}{\exp\left(\frac{hc}{\lambda kT^* t(r/r_i)}\right) - 1} 4\pi r \, dr$$

The idea: T* (or the accretion rate) in the accretion disk changes at different epochs.



Pereyra+ 2006

STANDARD THIN DISK MODEL

- In reality, the accretion disk model rarely fits real AGN spectra
 - Because of host galaxy contamination at longer wavelengths
 - Solution: assume galaxy light is non-variable!
- We fit the model (disk spectrum@T2 disk spectrum@T1) to the difference flux SEDs of two selected epochs
- The only parameter in the model is the average characteristic temperature of the two epochs. (Due to degeneracy issue, we can not derive T1 and T2 individually.)
- Can explain the bluer-when-brighter trend (Vanden Berk et al. 2004)

SAMPLE



METHOD

- Our final sample consists of 24 targets that have
 - co-temporal observations in GALEX and PS1
 - a large amplitude of optical variability (difference flux (S/N) > 3 in g band)
 - 23 spectroscopically confirmed AGNs. 1 earlytype galaxy.
 - The timescale is ~ a year.



Hung et al. 2016



Difference flux
Disk model
power law
single epoch spectrum

x - masked points

17/23 are well-fitted by the disk model (reduced chi square<3)

Hung et al. 2016

RESULTS



DISCUSSION

$$T^* = \left(\frac{3GM_{\rm bh}\dot{M}_{\rm accr}}{8\pi r_i^3\sigma}\right)^{1/4}$$

- Measured T* from single epoch spectroscopy
 - Mbh measured from MgII or Hb line FWHM assuming virialized motion
 - Mass accretion rate derived from NUV and optical photometry assuming an empirical mean quasar SED and the efficiency of a Schwarzschild BH

Hung et al. 2016

From spectroscopy



DISCUSSION

- Accretion disk timescales
 - Light crossing time
 - t ~ a few days (e.g. X-ray reprocessing)
 - Thermal/dynamical timescale
 - ~100 days (found in DRW fit of SDSS quasars)
 - localised thermal fluctuations in the disk (Dexter & Agol 2011, Ruan+ 2014, Cai+ 2016)
 - Viscous timescale (for global changes in accretion rate)
 - ~1000 years



Dexter & Argol 2011

iPTF16bco



Gezari+ 2017

Jun 1: Changing-look quasar at z=0.237



iPTF16bco

Jun 1: Changing-look quasar at z=0.237



iPTF16bco



SUMMARY

- The most plausible explanation of the variability seem in 16bco is a changing accretion rate.
- requires a disk instability that can develop around a $\sim 10^{^{8}}\,M_{\odot}\,$ black hole on timescales less than a year
- With the transient pipeline of ZTF, more CLQs will be discovered routinely, especially the ones 'turning on'.



RUMBAUGH+ 2017